

A guide to External examining at DMU

This guide is intended to provide an overview on the external examiner process (for taught provision), at De Montfort University (DMU). It is aimed at both external examiners appointed to DMU programmes, and for members of DMU staff who deal with external examiners as part of their role.

For forms, templates, and further guidance, please contact:

Louise Newell,
Academic Policy Officer

T: (0116) 250 6076

E: lnewell@dmu.ac.uk

W: dmu.ac.uk/external-examining

2024/2025

Introduction

This guide relates to all taught provision. Guidance on external examiners for research provision is available from the Doctoral College.

External examining provides one of the principal means for the maintenance of nationally comparable standards within autonomous Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). External examiners act as independent and impartial advisors and provide informed comment on the standards set and student achievement in relation to those standards. External examining is therefore an integral and very important part of institutional quality assurance.

External examiners ensure that:

- Postgraduate awards, degrees and intermediate awards granted by the university are comparable in standard to those of other HEIs
- Awards comply with national threshold standards in subjects
- The assessment system is fair and is fairly operated
- Management of processes for progression of students and for determining awards provides for equity of treatment

In addition, external examiners are required to advise on curriculum development and design – the currency, relevance and coherence of curricular material.

No postgraduate award, degree or intermediate award of the university shall be awarded without participation in the assessment process by at least one external examiner. External examiners are responsible to both the vice-chancellor of the university and to the Academic Board which appoints them. The point of contact between the university and an external examiner for the notification of appointment, for the clarification of formal requirements and duties, and for the external examiner's reports is the chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel.

External examiners are appointed to serve on assessment boards with responsibility for programmes. The number of external examiners appointed to any particular assessment board should be sufficient to cover the full range of duties for which the board is responsible. External examiners are also appointed to cover provision validated by the university but arranged and offered by collaborative partners.

For information regarding the university structure, please visit the governance pages of the [DMU website](#).

Whilst the university recognises the importance of DMU staff partaking in external examiner duties at other institutions, this guide is not intended to cover members of DMU staff who wish to take on an external examining role elsewhere. Further support can be gained from the DMU Education Academy although the appointing institution should provide full training and support. For additional guidance, please refer to the Advance HE [Fundamentals of External Examining](#) and the [development programmes for external examiners](#).



External Examining – an overview

About External Examining

External examiners confirm that:

- DMU's awards are comparable in standard to awards granted by other institutions
- They comply with national threshold standards
- The assessment of students is fair.

They are provided with appropriate evidence to make their judgements and are explicitly asked to confirm standards and the fairness of assessment in the annual reports they submit each academic session. In addition, external examiners provide advice on curriculum development.

Who are they?

External examiners are subject experts appointed from other institutions or from industry and are normally in post for 4 years.

Role and Responsibilities

External examiners are specifically required to scrutinise and advise on curriculum development and design, standards and assessment.

Role in assessment

Examiners are expected to:

- Be consulted on all draft assessments
- Sample work (see the [Assessment and Feedback Policy](#) for more information)
- Engage with the assessment boards

Whilst it is not a DMU requirement to meet students to assist in the evaluation of the appropriateness of assessment methodologies, examiners are advised to do so.

Appointment Process

Timescales

Examiners who are due to complete their appointments in the summer and autumn terms must be replaced by the **31st March** of the previous session. Those appointments completing in November and after must submit nomination forms no later than the **31st May**. Newly validated programmes must nominate an external examiner nomination form soon after the validation.

How to appoint an external examiner

Allow sufficient time (at least 12 months) before an external examiner is due to start their term of office to identify a suitable external examiner of the appropriate calibre, appraise them of their role and then follow the correct university appointment procedures:

- Approach possible nominees
- Review appointment criteria
- Complete nomination, extension, reallocation of duties form and obtain internal endorsements from head of school/department and associate professor (quality)
- Associate professor (quality) emails form and internal endorsements to Academic Quality Services (AQS) await decision from the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel (EERAP)
- Confirmation of approval will be sent by AQS. The examiner is not formally in post until the examiner has accepted the appointment. Further confirmation of acceptance will also be sent by AQS

Reporting

External examiners are required to submit written reports on an annual basis to the university using the standard [report proforma](#) within one month after the main assessment board and before payment of fees is authorised. Such reports should cover the full range of activities with which the examiner has been involved.

How reports are processed

- Received centrally and shared with faculties and relevant collaborative partners by AQS within 5 working days of receipt
- Detailed response sent from the board chair/programme leader after discussion at the management board. The response should be sent within 2 weeks of that meeting.
- An overview report will be written by AQS and presented to the Academic Quality Committee (AQC).

After appointment approval

Information sent from AQS

- Appointment letter
- Web link to the current [academic regulations](#)
- Web link to the current [General Regulations and Procedures Affecting Students](#)
- Web link to the guide to external examining at DMU
- University administrative arrangements for the operation of the external examining system
- A full checklist can be found on the [website](#)

Information sent by the faculty/validation service partner

- Full programme and module specifications (templates)
- Programme information
- Professional body requirements (if applicable)
- Student handbooks
- Summary of review and evaluation reports
- Dates of assessment board meetings and timeline of expected work

Fees

Each faculty office has responsibility for paying all fees and expenses of its external examiners.

The annual fee is paid on receipt of an external examiners report and also on submission of the [claim form](#).

Annual fee

£540.00

In-person visit fee

one per year max

£90.00 per visit

Useful resources

Publication	Website
A Guide to External examining at DMU	dmu.ac.uk/external-examiners
Assessment & Feedback Policy	assessment-feedback-policy-24-25.docx
AQS external examiner mailox	qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk
QAA Quality Code	https://www.qaa.ac.uk/quality-code/advice-and-guidance/external-expertise
Advance HE External Examiners Handbook	External examining Advance HE advance-he.ac.uk

Contents

Introduction	2
Section 1: Appointing an external examiner	6
Guidance for identifying potential external examiners	6
Potential sources of new external examiners	6
Higher Education Institutions (HEIs)	6
Professional Statutory and Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)	7
Members of validation panels	7
Current external examiners	7
Discussion forums	7
Selection and appointment criteria	7
Academic standing and examining experience	7
Volume of work	9
Independence and impartiality of the external examiner	9
Members and former members of De Montfort University and collaborative partner staff	10
Guidance for Higher and Degree apprenticeships	10
Guidance for collaborative provision	11
Guidance for Asia Pacific University (APU) external examiners	11
Period of office	11
Extending appointments	12
Appointment process	12
Nomination form	13
After the nomination form has been submitted	13
Appointment process flowchart	15
How and why appointments are terminated	16
Resignations	16
Section 2: Upon confirmation of appointment	17
Information sent by the university	17
From the chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel	17
Documents to prove eligibility to work in the UK	17
Information sent by the faculty	18
Support for newly appointed examiners	18
Mentoring arrangements for new external examiners	19
Section 3: Role and responsibilities	20
Participation in assessment	20
Sampling	21
Progression and Award boards (PAB)	22
Specific functions	23
Meeting with students	24
Written reports	25

Section 4: reporting process	36
Completing the annual/end of office report	36
Report content	36
Completing the annual report	36
Process for dealing with late reports	48
How reports are processed	49
Receipt and circulation	49
Detailed response from board chair/programme leader or partner	49
Monitoring	50
Reporting timetable	50
Sharing external examiner details and reports with students	50
GDPR, data protection and Freedom of information	51
Fee payment	51
Points of contact and further information	52
Visits, board operation, subject expertise	52
Payment of expenses and fees	52
Queries about roles and responsibilities and report submission	52
Additional information	52
Appendix 1	53
Higher and Degree apprenticeships – brief guide to external examining	53
Appendix 2	55
Lists of acceptable documents for manual right to work checks (from 1 July 2021)	55
Appendix 3	58
External Examiner Documentation Checklist	58
Appendix 4	62
Key responsibilities of the mentor	62

Section 1: Appointing an external examiner

Guidance for identifying potential external examiners

Approximately a year before an external examiner's term of office is due to expire, Academic Quality Services (AQS) will advise the faculty associate professor (quality) that replacement examiners must be sought. Board chairs/programme teams are advised to start looking for replacement examiners at this point. In addition, the Faculty Academic Committee (FAC) should review the whole of the faculty's external examiner provision at the beginning of every academic year and identify external examiners coming to the end of their term of appointment the following academic year. Ideally, external examiner vacancies should be presented to the first programme management board (PMB) of the academic session to confirm their status. Heads of school/department should review the pool of examiners in post to ascertain if inexperienced external examiners can be adequately supported by current external examiners.

When presenting new modules or programmes for university approval, the board/programme team concerned should indicate whether new external examiners will be required. Once the modules or programmes have received university approval it is the responsibility of the faculty to ensure nominations are sought. This should be done as soon after the validation as possible to ensure that external examiners are in place for the commencement of the new programme or modules. If there are level 4 assessments where the marks contribute directly towards an academic award, external examiners are required to be in place and to sample the work as usual. If this is not the case, and level 4 assessments do not contribute to an award, it is advisable but not a university requirement for examiners to be in place to audit level 4 work for newly validated bachelor degrees to be assured that it is appropriate preparation for study at level 5. If the programme is not likely to recruit in the following academic session, the faculty is responsible for informing the Academic Quality Services (AQS) to ensure that the central records are up to date.

The number of external examiners appointed to any particular assessment board should be sufficient to cover the full range of studies for which the board is responsible. Wherever possible, arrangements should be made to stagger appointments of new external examiners to provide continuity within an examining team.

External examiners appointed to examine provision validated by the university but arranged and offered by collaborative partners should be external both to the university and the collaborative partner concerned.

Faculties sometimes encounter difficulties in finding appropriate external examiners. It is therefore prudent to allow sufficient time (at least 12 months) before an external examiner is due to start their term of office to identify a suitable external examiner of the appropriate calibre, appraise them of their role and then follow the correct university appointment procedures. With this in mind, the following guidance for locating external examiners is offered.

Potential sources of new external examiners

Higher Education Institutions (HEIs)

The faculty may contact departments at other HEIs delivering similar programmes to see if staff may wish to become external examiners.

Professional Statutory and Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

PSRBs may have lists of approved external examiners which can be used.

Members of validation panels

The external subject specialist on previous validation events may be suitable as an external examiner, or may be able to recommend suitable external examiners. The faculty should balance the benefits of engaging someone who is familiar with the programme and its rationale with any risk to their ability to provide a fully independent perspective.

Current external examiners

Current external examiners may be able to suggest potential candidates; however, replacement externals should not be from the same department as the retiring external examiner. Programme teams should avoid external examiners rotating in regular order from institution to institution.

Discussion forums

JISC mail is a national academic mailing service, facilitating discussion, collaboration and communication within the UK academic community. This discussion forum is specifically for external examiners and those who are involved with external examiner processes. The forum can also be used to seek out prospective external examiners: jiscmail.ac.uk/. To join, individuals are required to subscribe to the relevant forum to enable access. A template for an advert can be located on the [External Examining SharePoint site](#).

The deadline for nomination submission is **31st March** for those examiners completing in the summer/autumn term of that session and the **31st May** for those completing in November onwards. All vacancies will be reported to each meeting of the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). Escalation for vacancies need to exhaust all faculty contacts including the subject leader, head of school/department, associate dean academic and then finally the PVC/dean. If a nomination is still not forthcoming, the chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel may intervene.

Selection and appointment criteria

The authority for appointing external examiners rests solely with the Academic Board, which may, however, delegate this responsibility to the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). This in turn is delegated to the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel (EEERAP). Appointment criteria is in place to ensure that examiners are competent to undertake their duties and to ensure that conflicts of interest are identified and resolved prior to appointment.

In appointing external examiners, the AQC shall have regard to the following:

Academic standing and examining experience

External examiners will be expected to have:

- Knowledge and understanding of UK sector agreed reference points for the maintenance of academic standards and assurance and enhancement of quality.
- Competence and experience in the field covered by the programme of study, or parts thereof.

- Relevant academic qualifications/professional qualifications to at least the level of the qualification being externally examined, and/or extensive practitioner experience where appropriate.
- Academic and teaching experience at the level at which assessment is taking place including competence and experience relating to designing and operating a variety of assessment tasks appropriate to the subject and operating assessment procedures (either externally or internally). External examiners from outside the higher education system,¹ for example, from industry or the professions, may be appointed where appropriate; but each board shall have at least one external examiner who has recent examining experience at the relevant level and who is familiar with the standards required for comparable programmes.
- Sufficient standing, credibility and breadth of experience within the discipline to be able to command the respect of academic peers and, where appropriate, professional peers.
- Familiarity with the standard to be expected of students to achieve the award that is to be assessed.
- Fluency in English, and where programmes are delivered and assessed in languages other than English, fluency in the relevant language(s) (unless other secure arrangements are in place to ensure that external examiners are provided with the information to make their judgements).
- Met the applicable criteria set out by professional, statutory or regulatory bodies.
- Awareness of current developments in the design and delivery of relevant curricula.
- Competence and experience relating to the enhancement of the student learning experience.

Colleagues who are new to external examining or have professional experience relevant to a professional or vocational programme can be appointed with detailed [mentoring arrangements](#). In such circumstances AQC will have due regard to the internal examining experience of nominees. Those nominees from industry may require a more detailed mentoring arrangement than those with academic experience. Consideration should also be given to the transitional arrangements with the outgoing external examiner. Ideally, only nominees with previous external examining experience should be appointed to newly validated provision particularly if it involves new collaborative partners.

Circumstances when a mentoring arrangement **may** be required:

- No external examining experience
- Nominee is being put forward for a postgraduate programme but only has undergraduate external examining experience
- No UK external examining experience
- Previous external examining experience is over five years ago although academic experience will be considered
- Current external examiner appointment has been held for less than a year.

Details of the mentoring arrangement or confirmation that the process for securing a mentor is in progress, must be included on the nomination form prior to submission.

¹ Care should be taken to avoid appointing external examiners from outside the sector for the purpose of consultation on the appropriateness of curriculum design to a profession or vocation. External advice and guidance should be sought during the curriculum development, approval and review process rather than during the assessment process.

Retirees and those who no longer work in higher education can be considered provided they have sufficient evidence of continuing involvement in the academic area in question.

Volume of work

An external examiner should not normally be appointed if this would entail holding more than two concurrent external examinerships covering taught provision. The nominee should provide further information indicating wherever possible the volume of work associated with each examinership and an assurance that the workload is manageable. The programme leader should also provide details of workload for the DMU programme including student numbers.

Independence and impartiality of the external examiner

External examiners shall be entirely independent of De Montfort University (DMU) and any partner institutions. External examiners should not normally be appointed if they have had close and persistent contact with a current member of staff of the university (or partner) at any time during the previous five years (either prior to, or during, their employment at the university or collaborative partner).

To avoid potential conflicts of interest, external examiners should not be appointed if they are covered by any of the following categories or circumstances:

- A member of a governing body or committee of DMU or one of its collaborative partners, or a current employee of DMU or one of its collaborative partners.
- Anyone with a close professional, contractual or personal relationship with a member of staff or student involved with the programme of study.
- Anyone required to assess colleagues who are recruited as students to the programme of study.
- Anyone who is, or knows they will be, in a position to influence significantly the future of students on the programme of study.
- Anyone significantly involved in recent or current substantive collaborative research activities with a member of staff closely involved in the delivery, management or assessment of the programme(s) or modules in question. (However, for example, the contributions of university staff to edited works will not normally preclude the editor from consideration as an external examiner).

Nominating an individual who has acted as an external panel member for the validation of the programme is acceptable; however, the faculty should balance the benefits of engaging someone who is familiar with the programme and its rationale with any risk to their ability to provide a fully independent perspective.

Any examiner, once appointed, who has a potential conflict of interest (for example being related to or a close friend of a student under consideration), must declare that interest to the chair of the appropriate assessment board(s) as soon as the possibility arises, and should not normally be expected to be the examiner for the student concerned.

No more than one external examiner should be appointed from the same department of the same institution within the DMU programme assessment board (PAB).

Reciprocal arrangements between institutions involving cognate programmes are not permitted. An external examiner should not be appointed from a department in which a member of DMU is serving as an external examiner. To ensure this does not occur, faculties

and nominees will be asked to confirm (on the nomination form) that external examiners are not being exchanged. To assist with this requirement, a list of members of staff and their external examiner appointments should be kept up to date on an annual basis by the head of school/department and a copy circulated to the faculty associate professor (quality).

Programme teams should avoid external examiners rotating in regular order from institution to institution.

If a member of DMU staff applies to be an external examiner in the same department in which one of our own existing external examiners is based, then the member of DMU staff must decline the appointment. It is also hoped that all universities are following similar appointment criteria and would therefore turn down the nomination on the basis of a reciprocal arrangement. If a reciprocal arrangement is discovered at a later date or is created when our existing examiner moves to another institution, the appointment will be reviewed.

The replacement of an external examiner from an institution by a colleague from the same department in the same institution is not permitted.

The External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel (EEERAP) will take into account where there is a legitimate case for making an appointment that does not fulfil all the criteria for example where external examiners are drawn from business, industry or the professions. Nominees from these backgrounds make a significant contribution to external examining across a range of disciplines but may be unable to fulfil all the criteria. Whilst these nominees may possess considerable professional experience, they may not have the formal qualifications anticipated, the academic background, or sufficient experience of assessment. Likewise, a team may wish to appoint an external examiner who has, as a researcher, eminent standing in the respective discipline, but lacks experience in providing and enhancing the student learning experience. The panel will consider such cases formally as exceptions to the criteria. This consideration may be assisted where the appointee is not the sole external examiner for the award; hence his or her expertise is complemented by that of others who do satisfy the criteria.

Where an appointee does not fulfil all the criteria, the team should take steps to provide appropriate training and support in relation to academic expectations for example in the form of a mentor. The panel will also make appropriate use of exceptions when addressing nominations for external examiners in disciplines which are very small and specialist and where the pool of potential external examiners is therefore restricted.

Members and former members of De Montfort University and collaborative partner staff

Former members of the university (and collaborative partners) staff shall not be appointed as external examiners before a lapse of at least five years, or sufficient time for students taught by that member of staff to have completed their registration at the university, whichever is the longer (the same shall apply in respect of former members of collaborative partners).

Guidance for Higher and Degree apprenticeships

External examiners who are appointed to scrutinize apprenticeship programmes are subject to the appointment criteria and approval process outlined in [Appendix 1](#). For external examining arrangements for apprenticeships, the following need to be taken into consideration during the appointment process:

- The university needs to be assured that the external examiner is suitably qualified to undertake the role, providing a balance between academic and workplace practice experience and having currency of experience in both areas. In terms of workplace practice experience, consideration should be given to academic nominees who are working with a relevant industry to support delivery of a programme (e.g. guest lecturers from industry, live briefs, experience of running an apprenticeship), members of an industrial liaison committee or undertaking consultancy in a relevant area. If an examiner cannot be found who matches the criteria, consideration should be given to appointing both an examiner with academic subject expertise and one with appropriate practice-based expertise.
- External examiners from industry may be appointed where appropriate; but each board shall have at least one external examiner who has recent examining experience at the relevant level and who is familiar with the standards required for comparable programmes.

For guidance regarding EPA External Assessors covering the End-Point Assessment (EPA), contact Academic Quality Services in the first instance.

Guidance for collaborative provision

External examiners who cover collaborative provision are appointed by the faculty owning the programme(s) or in the case of De Montfort University International College (DMUIC) by the UK Validation Services team, in the same way as for other provision within the university. The usual appointment criteria will apply and an external examiner who will be responsible for programmes at other collaborative partners must be entirely independent to all sites and staff involved. Ideally, only nominees with previous external examining experience should be appointed to newly validated provision at a new partner.

There are no requirements for the appointed external examiner to visit all locations although examples of work from all sites where the module is delivered must be available as part of the module sample. Examiners should be made fully aware of which sample is from which site to enable them to comment in their annual report appropriately.

In the annual report examiners are asked to advise on the comparability of standards and the effectiveness of assessment arrangements across sites where modules are delivered at more than one location. Examiners are also asked to be specific about the locations to which the comments refer to enable appropriate action.

Guidance for Asia Pacific University (APU) external examiners

Appointments will be subject to an examiner having no link to APU and DMU for five years, and who will have delivered on a UK degree or a dual/joint programme with a UK institution.

Nominees for APU programmes will need to be approved by APU before the nomination form is submitted to AQS.

Period of office

The period of office of an external examiner shall be four years normally from 1 October. The period of office of an external examiner shall not normally exceed four years but may be extended **exceptionally** by EEERAP for a further year to ensure continuity.

An external examiner may be reappointed in exceptional circumstances but only after a period of five years or more has elapsed since their last appointment and a strong rationale for the re-appointment must be provided.

Extending appointments

In exceptional circumstances applications for extensions of appointment length for one year only will be considered by the appointments panel eg during a transitional phase or to ensure continuity within the examining team. A reallocation of duties ie to include further or new modules/programmes will also be considered however the number of current external examiner appointments (including those at DMU) will be taken in to account.

All applications for extensions of office or reallocation of duties must be submitted on the appropriate form (available from the [AQS website](#) or the [External Examining SharePoint site](#)). All sections must be completed fully and must include a robust rationale. The board chair/head of school/department and the faculty associate professor (quality) must endorse the extension via email before the form and the internal endorsements are emailed to AQS and for onward submission to the appointments panel.

Appointment process

When a potential external examiner has been identified, and before the appointment forms are completed, the faculty (usually the head of school/department or the programme leader) may wish to give the proposed external a brief appraisal of the role, responsibilities (described later in this guide) and an indication of the number of visits to ensure that the proposed external will be able to fulfil their duties. It is recommended that the head of school/department or programme leader in consultation with appropriate colleagues (faculty associate professor (quality) and/or subject team) enter into a dialogue with the potential external and gain his/her informal agreement to act. It is also useful if relevant programme/academic regulation briefing material is sent to the proposed examiner.

The programme/subject team should be mindful of the appointment criteria and ensure that the proposed external examiner meets these standards. The faculty should also ensure that the nominee is eligible to work in the UK and therefore meets the UK Visas and Immigration requirements before nomination. If the nominee requires a mentor, this should be arranged prior to submission of the nomination form.

The head of school/board chair/programme leaders should check to ensure that no member of the school/department staff has been appointed as external examiners to a board at which the nominee is a member or submits assessments for consideration. To assist with this requirement, a list of members of staff and their external examiner appointments should be kept up to date on an annual basis by the head of school/department and a copy circulated to the faculty associate professor (quality).

Any intellectual property difficulties, such as might arise from the need for commercial confidentiality, should be resolved prior to appointment.

For external examiners who will be associated to Asia Pacific University (APU) programmes, a CV must be sent to APU prior to completion of the nomination form.

Nomination form

To recommend a nominee for the external examining role, a nomination form must be completed. The form can be located on the [AQS website](#) or the [External Examining SharePoint site](#)). The form should be completed electronically using the tab key to navigate from field to field. Do not convert the form to a PDF document in case amendments need to be made.

- The nomination form along with all internal endorsements should normally be completed and submitted by the **31st March** for those examiners completing in the summer/autumn term of that session and the **31st May** for those completing in November onwards. For all nominations received after this date, an explanation for the late submission is required. If the programme is validated after the deadline, this information should also be included in this section.
- Before the form is submitted, ensure that the nominee meets **all** of the [appointment criteria](#).
- Provide an accurate list of programmes and modules including credit size, location of delivery and the number of times they are taught in an academic session. A typical workload for an examiner is 360 credits (including level 4) for undergraduate provision and 180 credits for postgraduate provision. This recommended credit limit is a guide to ensure greater parity of external examiner workloads. However, there may be exceptions for example, where an examiner has a higher number of credits allocated due to option modules. For further information, please see the separate workload guidance.
- Provide a rationale for **all** nominations and if required provide details of the mentor support for the nominee.
- **Ensure all sections are completed.** Failure to do this may result in the nomination form being returned which will cause a delay in the appointment process.
- Once the form has been completed, it should be emailed (with the nominees CV) to the board chair/head of school/department/division requesting that they forward the form in an email with endorsement to the faculty associate professor (quality). In some faculties, the form will need to be sent directly to the faculty associate professor (quality). Teams will need to ensure that they are aware of individual faculty procedures.
- By endorsing the form, the head of school/department is confirming that none of the staff of the faculty are serving as examiners in the nominee's department and also that they have paid due regard to the PSRB requirements where relevant.
- The faculty associate professor (quality) will forward the form with approvals to Academic Quality Services. The form must not be submitted without the internal endorsements.
- For DMUIC programmes, internal endorsement will be required from the Head of UK Validation Services and the Partnerships Manager in AQS.
- It is important to note that an external examiner cannot be considered to have been appointed until the board chair/head of school and programme leader have been formally notified by AQS that the nominee has accepted the appointment. Do not contact the nominee or send any work until confirmation of their acceptance has been received from AQS.

After the nomination form has been submitted

Applications for appointment will be processed by AQS. To facilitate the work of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel, AQS will check all proposals against the

university's appointment criteria and refer back those proposals which fall short of the criteria for clarification or further information.

Where appropriate, following the submission of supporting material (if necessary), proposals shall be submitted to the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel. The panel consists of an Associate Dean Academic (ADA) as chair and four senior faculty representatives, usually the associate professors (quality), one from each faculty. In addition, there is a representative from Academic Quality Services (AQS). The panel conducts all of its business by correspondence, and where necessary, will refer proposals back to the relevant faculty for further information.


The four senior faculty representatives will not be expected to approve appointments from their own faculty. The members will be required to make a decision based on the appointment criteria. Once the deadline has passed, a decision can be made based on comments from three members of the panel, one of which must be either the Chair or the AQS representative.

Where nominations have been rejected, an entirely new nomination will be sought. The faculty will be advised of the reasons for rejections and of the options available. Appeals against decisions made by the appointments panel may be discussed further at the AQC. It is the responsibility of the faculty to inform the candidate that their nomination has been rejected by the panel.

On approval, AQS will issue an appointment letter to the examiner and ensure that the relevant members of staff are informed of the decision. This will include the associate professor (quality), the programme leader, the programme administrator, the quality assurance administrator (or equivalent) and the collaborative partner (if relevant).

The Academic Quality Committee (AQC) will also receive a list of external examiner vacancies at each meeting and on an annual basis it will receive a report listing proposals that have been rejected, resignations and terminations of appointment.

Appointment process flowchart



How and why appointments are terminated

The appointment of an external examiner may be terminated by the Academic Board if the Academic Board judges that the responsibilities of the appointment have not been or cannot be fulfilled in the manner or to the standard which the university requires. Reasons for termination could include:

- Failure to provide reports using the standard report proforma, to the expected professional standard and to be submitted within reasonable timescales, normally within a month after the main assessment board.
- Inability to participate in two successive assessment periods, without good reason and without arranging alternative means to input into the assessment process.
- Failure to undertake external examiner duties to the satisfaction of the university by providing inadequate scrutiny of proposed assessments and/or an unacceptable delay in corresponding with the programme team.
- Any change in the external examiners' circumstances which brings about potential conflicts of interest which might jeopardise objectivity.
- Persistent refusal to work within the university's academic regulations.
- Relocation of the external examiner far from the United Kingdom.
- Withdrawal of, or non-recruitment to, programmes. It is the responsibility of the programme leader to inform the external examiner and AQS if this is the case.
- If a reciprocal arrangement is discovered after the appointment or is created when an existing examiner moves to another institution, the appointment will be reviewed.

Resignations

Examiners wishing to resign from their position should contact the programme leader, board chair and AQS (qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk) to confirm the resignation in writing (emails are acceptable) and should give a minimum of **three months' notice**.

Section 2: Upon confirmation of appointment

Information sent by the university

Upon appointment, the external examiner should receive the following information:

From the chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel

The chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel will ensure that the following generic information is provided to brief external examiners:

- Appointment letter containing the name of the programme, collaborative partners (if appropriate), tenure dates, main duties, fee and expenses information
- Website link to the current [Academic Regulations Taught Programmes](#)
- Website link to the current [General Regulations and Procedures Affecting Students](#)
- Website link to the guide to external examining at DMU which details the role of the examiner on quality assurance policies and protocols
- Website link to the [Assessment and Feedback Policy](#)
- Website link to the [Guide to Curriculum Modifications](#)
- Administrative arrangements for the operation of the external examining system including:
 - Link to the relevant MS Forms report proforma for that year (usually sent in May and October)
 - Website link the external examiner expenses [claim form](#)
 - Contact information
 - List of documents to be provided to meet the [right to work in the UK requirements](#)
 - Username and password for DMUhub and LearningZone (VLE). (It is the responsibility of the faculty (programme administrator or programme leader to ensure that the external examiner is enrolled onto the appropriate VLE shells.)
 - Guidance for [DMUhub](#) and [LearningZone](#).
 - Invitation to the external examiner briefing session (usually sent in the autumn term)

External examiners are required to check the information provided and inform Academic Quality Services (AQS) if there are any amendments. Examiners should keep AQS and the faculty informed of any further changes to contact details. Examiners will need to formally accept the appointment via email. All newly appointed examiners must also submit documents to prove that they are eligible to work in the UK.

Documents to prove eligibility to work in the UK

For the university to comply with its legal duties under the Immigration, Asylum and Nationality Act (2006), checks that all external examiners are eligible to work in the UK must be conducted. To avoid any possibility of racial discrimination this documentation is required from everyone, even if they are a UK citizen.

Once their appointment has been approved and before any work is commenced, examiners must provide the university with documentation which evidences their right to work in the UK. A list of appropriate documentation can be found in [appendix 2](#). It is strongly advised that when staff members approach suitable candidates, they should also mention to the candidate that they will be required to provide evidence of their eligibility to work in the UK.

Information sent by the faculty

Once the external examiner has accepted the appointment and submitted documents to prove eligibility to work in the UK, AQS will contact key individuals in the faculty to confirm this and to request that the following programme specific information is sent to the examiner:

- The full specifications (templates) for each module and programme for which the examiner has responsibility
- Student/programme handbooks
- QAA subject benchmarks/QAA characteristic statements (if appropriate)
- Programme specific regulations (if applicable)
- Any relevant discipline assessment criteria, marking schemes, model answers, etc
- Professional body requirements (if applicable)
- Relevant apprenticeship standard (for Higher and Degree Apprenticeships only)
- Assessment plan (for Higher and Degree Apprenticeships only) document mapping the academic programme to the apprenticeship standard (for Higher and Degree Apprenticeships only)
- Previous external examiners report/s
- Recent review reports (eg periodic review, PSRB)
- Annual Enhancement Reviews (AER) or Programme Self-Assessment Report and Quality Improvement Plan (PSAR) and Module Enhancement Plans (MEP)
- Dates of progression and award board meetings
- Dates of any other meetings ie in-person visits (not a requirement but usually a maximum of one per academic session)
- Timeline of when to expect draft assessments and samples including turnaround times
- The full documentation checklist can be found in [appendix 3](#). The checklist also includes a list of information to be provided to the external examiner on an annual basis and during the academic session.

Key contacts within the faculty will be informed of the external examiner's username for LearningZone. It is the responsibility of the faculty (programme administrator or programme leader) to ensure that the external examiner is enrolled onto the appropriate LearningZone shells.

Support for newly appointed examiners

External examiners will be briefed before the start of their duties. The faculty and subject teams in conjunction with AQS will arrange briefing sessions for external examiners to be held annually in the spring term. All newly appointed examiners will be invited in the autumn term to attend a session. The aim of these sessions is to provide information on the role of the external examiner at De Montfort University (DMU) as well as looking at the undergraduate and postgraduate scheme and associated regulations, the board structure and faculty operations. The briefing sessions are intended to be informal and will give newly appointed examiners the opportunity to familiarise themselves with DMU processes.

All sessions will be held virtually and will consist of presentations covering the regulations, the role of the external examiner, the assessment board structure and process and external examiner reports. Programme teams will need to make their own arrangements for meeting the external examiner at their convenience. At these introductory meetings topics for discussion may include:

- Discussion with programme/subject groups to consider the operation the boards including management of assessment.
- Modules, subjects and programmes
- Collaborative arrangements
- Moderating examination questions and assignments etc
- How best to review work, in what format and when (ie after each block which is recommended or at the end of the session)
- LearningZone demonstration
- Annual Enhancement Reviews (AER) or Programme Self-Assessment Report and Quality Improvement Plan (PSAR)
- Arrangements for meeting students (not a requirement but encouraged either in-person or virtually)
- How the Faculty responds to external examiner reports with reference to actions taken on issues raised by the previous external examiner
- Reporting lines within the faculty
- How best to communicate with the external examiner.

[Mentoring arrangements for new external examiners](#)

On occasion, a programme team may wish to nominate an external examiner who, whilst is well qualified to examine in terms of their specialist subject knowledge, does not have sufficient recent external examining experience. Nominees without external examining experience should, where possible, join an experienced external examining team and the faculty is also required to allocate a mentor to offer support on the university's processes in their first year as external examiner. The mentor must be an existing and experienced DMU external examiner and should preferably be associated to a similar subject area. For further info please see the [Appendix 4](#).

Section 3: Role and responsibilities

The external examiner role at De Montfort University (DMU) has been designed to fully comply with the Quality Assurance Agency UK Quality Code, Advice and Guidance: External Expertise.

External examiners are specifically required to scrutinise and advise on the following:

- **Curriculum development and design:** the currency, relevance and coherence of curricular material
- **Standards:** that learning materials and learning outcomes are appropriate to curricula and that students are able to achieve standards comparable with those elsewhere and comparable to subject and level threshold requirements
- **Assessment:** that assessment aims and objectives are appropriate, the purposes and philosophy of assessment are clearly articulated and understood, that the load of assessment is appropriate, and assessment is properly and impartially conducted.

Participation in assessment

An external examiner shall be an ex officio member of the programme assessment board to which she/he is appointed and shall approve module marks determined by the board.

The focus of examiners' duties for undergraduate programmes shall be at levels 5 and 6; however, examiners shall have discretion to sample and audit work at level 4 to be assured that it is appropriate preparation for study at level 5. If there are level 4 assessments where the marks contribute directly towards an academic award, external examiners are required to be in place and to sample the work as usual. This applies to awards such as the University Certificate of Professional Development (UCPD), Higher National Certificate (HNC) and Certificate of Higher Education (CertHE). For foundation degrees and Higher National Diplomas, the focus shall be on level 5. The focus for postgraduate external examiners' duties shall be the modules and programmes concerned as appointed to by the board, which leads to postgraduate awards.

External examiners shall be consulted on draft examination papers at undergraduate levels 5 and 6, and for all levels for UCPDs and all postgraduate modules. To facilitate consideration by examiners model answers, outline solutions and related marking schemes, where appropriate, shall be provided along with draft papers. Such documents shall be provided to external examiners at least four months in advance of the examination itself. External examiners should also be consulted regarding the range of coursework tasks set across a programme. This is particularly important for programmes that have coursework only assessment.

External examiners shall normally be consulted regarding students' choice of projects and/or design exercises and/or dissertations where these are integral components of module assessment at level 5 and/or level 6 and for postgraduate programmes.

Block delivery

From the 2022/23 academic session, most undergraduate programmes moved to block teaching. Most postgraduate programmes moved to block teaching in 2023/24. There are some exceptions with a small number of programmes remaining with yearlong delivery.

Block teaching is structured into 7-week teaching blocks, where students typically will concentrate on one module in each block at a time. The academic calendar is divided into

two 15-week semesters for undergraduate provision and three semesters for postgraduate, with two blocks in each semester and a one week break between each block. While the standard block will equate to a 30-credit module, there might in some instances where two blocks are taught alongside each other, such as in a 60-credit project. This will enable students to focus intensively on one module at a time. There is also greater flexibility and ability for staff to deliver deeper learning and more intensive education experiences. One of the benefits of block delivery is that it offers students in year retrieval opportunities, enabling them to undertake reassessment close to the point of the original submission.

External examiners are encouraged to undertake moderation activities after each block to spread the workload across the year, rather than reviewing all modules just before the progression and award board (typically July for undergraduate and March, July and November for postgraduate).

Sampling

The external examiner has the right to see all assessed work in order to select an appropriate sample for moderation and/or audit against marking schemes/model answers/outline solutions. Examiners shall have discretion to sample material necessary to render judgements about the consistency of internal marking. Detailed arrangements for selection shall be agreed with the external examiner in advance. The minimum sample for moderation should include assessments from all sites where the module is delivered.

To assist the external examiner, it is expected that a reasonable sample of material would include:

- The assessment(s) marked highest and lowest overall
- Any problematic assessments (e.g. where there has been disagreement between first and second markers)
- A sample of failed assessments. At the external examiner's discretion all fails in dissertation/major projects can be reviewed

Assessments include all components contributing to a module mark including coursework elements and examination scripts. Only summative assessments are moderated, formative assessments do not require moderation. The reference to 'problematic assessments' is intended to cover a variety of eventualities where a department wants the particular advice of the external examiner. This would include, for example, exceptional cases where there is internal disagreement about a mark for a script or coursework assignment.

For cohorts of up to 100 students the sample size for internal and external moderation shall normally be a minimum of 10 assessments (this would require all items in the case of very small modules). For cohorts of over 100 students the minimum sample size should be the square root of the number of assessments (eg if the cohort size is 260 the sample will be 16 items).

The external examiner has the right to see any worked scripts and other assessed assignments, including coursework, projects, dissertations which contribute to the module mark. Examiners shall have discretion to sample material which will allow them to render a judgement as to the:

- (a) Overall suitability of the assessment methods in practice
- (b) Coherence of the assessment strategy
- (c) Consistency of internal marking

The external examiner shall conduct viva voce examinations in such exceptional cases as shall be determined by the chair of the programme board in consultation with the external examiner.

If an external examiner is unable to complete their duties at any time, they must contact the programme leader and/or administrator in advance to discuss alternative timescales.

The external examiner may meet with a small group of [students](#) to assist in evaluation of the appropriateness of assessment methodologies, as well as to assist the external examiner in forming a view on standards and on quality of delivery.

For further information, examiners encouraged to read the university Assessment and Feedback Policy (dmu.ac.uk/assessment) as useful context on the conduct of assessment at DMU.

Progression and Award boards (PAB)

The university operates a single-tier programme board which covers one or more programmes within a discipline and its constituent modules. When programme boards function as assessment boards their primary purposes are to confirm marks at module level, review student performance at module and programme level, make decisions in relation to student progression between levels of study and recommend awards and degree classifications in all programmes leading to named awards which are allocated to the PAB.

The formal progression and award board (PAB) is only permitted to ratify the marks as they are presented. The board cannot receive late marks or correct any mark input errors – this should be done at the pre-board stage. Any errors/gaps not picked up beforehand can only be addressed by chair's action taken outside of the board and reported to the next meeting.

Similarly, PABs do not have the authority to exercise discretion – any matters of academic judgement must be concluded at the pre-board stage. The only circumstances under which the formal assessment board may exercise discretion is where students are being allowed to progress exceptionally. This scenario is only available for undergraduate programmes, and normally occurs in September. There are set criteria governing the application of this decision – the Registrar representative/Associate Dean Academic (ADA) can guide on appropriate use at the board.

External examiners are present at the board to ensure that assessment is at an appropriate level and appropriate to the subject, and that students' work has been fairly marked. Examiners should also ensure that the processes for progression of students and for determining awards provide equity of treatment. External examiners will be asked to provide a brief verbal report on the operation of the assessment process, moderation outcome and student performance at the board. If they are unable to attend, examiners should provide written comments to the board.

Some programmes are professionally accredited and have additional regulations above and beyond generic university regulations. Where this is the case, the board ensures that these are fully adhered to.

It is not mandated that external examiners must attend the assessment boards for quoracy, but they are encouraged to do so. They are not required to attend pre-boards. They must confirm their involvement in the reassessment process and board which follows. Engagement

with the reassessment process is just as crucial as with the main assessment period. If examiners are unable to attend any of the boards, they must contact the faculty to inform them of this and to arrange alternative means to input into the meeting. With some subject areas, where there are multiple external examiners appointed to the same programme, one external examiner may be nominated to represent all examiners at the board. If appropriate, examiners may be sent the minutes of the relevant Programme Management Board (PMB).

Specific functions

The role of the external examiner comprises:

- Advising on curriculum design, assessment design and modification. External Examiners should be consulted on proposed changes and where approval is required, evidence must be shown of their agreement. Section 3 of the [Curriculum Modification Guide](#) contains more information on external examiner approval requirements.
- Commenting on draft assessments at undergraduate levels 5 and 6, and for all levels for UCPDs and all postgraduate modules. If there are level 4 assessments where the marks contribute directly towards an academic award, external examiners are also required to comment upon draft assessments.
- Sampling students' assessed work and sampling the assessment of student work and moderating as necessary. **This includes reassessed work.** At undergraduate level focusing on levels 5 and 6 modules and programmes, but sampling and auditing the level 4 work of the subject to an extent necessary to assure that it provides an adequate preparation for level 5 modules within the subject. If there are level 4 assessments where the marks contribute directly towards an academic award, external examiners are required to sample the work as usual. This applies to awards such as the University Certificate of Professional Development (UCPD). Postgraduate external examiners focus on the whole programme.
- Confirming internal assessment processes within modules and programmes.
- Confirming the standards achieved by students on programmes offered by the university.
- Considering and advising on the comparability of standards where modules and programmes are delivered at more than one location.
- Adjudicating as necessary on individual cases (exceptionally), reviewing student profiles and exercising discretion. External examiners are not employed as a 'third marker' and programme teams must not try to utilise them in this fashion.
- Adjudicating on any moderation of module marks and to confirm all module marks awarded.
- Examiners may not normally change individual student marks in a sample but may recommend that the marks awarded need to be reviewed across the whole cohort (including those not in the sample), if they have concerns. Further action may include re-marking of the affected assessment for all of the cohort, additional moderation of the assessment or an adjustment of marks for all of the affected assessments or modules. If an external examiner has concerns regarding the overall standard of marking, they should notify the module and programme leader as soon as possible and prior to the assessment board. If an examiner raises any concern about marking at the assessment board (rather than during the moderation/pre-board process), marks for all students would need to be reviewed.
- If an external examiner has looked at the whole cohort's work and has concerns with the marks, the same principles apply. The examiner should raise any concerns with the module

and programme leader prior to the board where possible. The University does not expect external examiners to act as second or third markers.

- Raising any issues of concern for debate.
- Conducting viva voce examinations in cases where the assessment board or the external examiner so wishes.
- Considering statistical analyses (performance indicators) relating to modules and programmes with which she/he is associated.
- Auditing and confirming the rigour of assessment practices and protocols adopted by the board.
- Reviewing and confirming progression decisions and recommendations for the award of qualifications.
- Advising when required in relation to aegrotat awards and similar exceptional student cases.
- Reporting on the above to the university verbally at the board and in the written report.

In addition, from time to time we may ask current external examiners to act as a [mentor](#) to newly appointed examiners. This is not intended to be an onerous task and it is hoped that the mentor will be able to offer further support to the new examiner, attend the same assessment board and be available via email or the telephone to answer any queries.

Meeting with students

External examiners should discuss with the programme team whether it is beneficial for them to meet with students. There is no formal De Montfort University requirement for examiners to do this, however, they should be provided with the opportunity to engage with students where this is deemed appropriate by the board or the programme team. This will in part be determined by the nature of the discipline and assessment methods. External examiners find it useful to meet with students to assist in evaluation of the appropriateness of assessment methodologies, as well as to assist them in forming a view on standards and on quality of delivery.

Where external examiners do meet with students the focus of discussion should be on the students' experience of learning and assessment. Questions might include:

- Are students made aware of the overall outcomes for the programme?
- Are timetables and workloads appropriate?
- Do students feel they are being challenged?
- Do students know how they are doing?
- What feedback do students get on their progress and does it help them improve?
- Are the library and IT facilities reasonable?
- What are the programme strengths and are there any other areas for improvement?

The university expects the external examiner to exercise judgement when reporting on student views, and interpret them against their wider knowledge of the programme. Students should be encouraged to support and illustrate their views with examples of practice. The contribution from the examiner is to provide an independent critical perspective on what students have to tell us. The university values student feedback and the programme team should already have a good understanding of student opinion. It does not always add value

for examiners to simply report student views as stated without placing them in a critical context.

Written reports

External examiners are required to submit written reports to the university annually and at the end of their periods of office (such reports should be completed on the form available from Academic Quality Services (AQS) website (dmu.ac.uk/external-examining)). Each report will be reviewed by AQS, who is responsible for ensuring that reports are considered and that any necessary actions are taken within the university (see later sections of this guide for information on how to complete a written report).

Section 4: reporting process

Completing the annual/end of office report

External examiners are required to submit written reports on an annual basis to the university within one month after the main assessment board (not after the reassessment board) and before payment of fees is authorised. Such reports should cover the full range of activities with which the examiner has been involved.

The end of office report (completed on the same proforma as the annual) made at the end of the term of office, should give general observations based on the whole period as well as covering the year in question. Particular attention should be paid to any issues that have been raised, but not addressed during the examiner's period of office.

Interim/supplementary reports may be submitted at any times where an examiner considers that issues have arisen which require prompt attention. This report is intended to be exceptional and it will not be necessary for examiners to report on the satisfactory operation, or progress of the work, of the assessment board.

An external examiner may send a separate report to the vice-chancellor on any matter which she/he deems necessary; such a report may be sent in confidence at any time.

Report content

Written reports should be completed on the appropriate MS Form available on the [Academic Quality Services website](#). There will be a new link for each academic session. IN addition, the link to the report will be circulated to examiners in May and October prior to the main assessment boards. There is also a separate Word document to enable examiners to preview the questions. The report is a major source of information in the annual monitoring process. The report should contain clear information on academic standards and should advise on good practice, innovation and areas for enhancement. The most useful reports not only celebrate the positive aspects of programmes but also give specific recommendations for actions. There is a separate report proforma for those examiners associated with APU provision.

In the unlikely event of a report not being sufficiently clear or informative, the university may seek further details.

Each report will be reviewed by AQS who is responsible for ensuring that reports are considered and that any necessary actions are taken within the university.

Completing the annual report

- The report should be submitted within **one** month after the main assessment board meeting. As a guide, for standard undergraduate programmes (excluding Nursing and Midwifery) with a July assessment board, submission will usually be by the **31st August**. For standard postgraduate and Nursing and Midwifery (both undergraduate and postgraduate) programmes with an October/early November assessment board, reports should be submitted by the **20th December**. For non-standard programmes, please contact the programme leader or qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk in Academic Quality Services.
- If examiners are unsure as to when the main assessment board is, they should consult with the programme leader or administrator.

- To ensure that the most up to date version of the report proforma is completed, examiners should check [Academic Quality Services website](#) each year. Usually, a link to the MS Form is emailed to all examiners during May and October of each year.
- **IMPORTANT: examiners cannot save their progress on the MS Form report proforma; the form must be completed and submitted in one go.** A Word version of the form will be provided if examiners prefer to work on the questions offline in their own time. The template can be used to preview the questions, prepare answers and then copy and paste into the MS Form when ready. The Word template should not be submitted.
- Most questions will be some version of multiple choice and free text, for example:
 - Free text
 - Dropdown menu
 - Yes / No
 - Strongly Disagree / Disagree / Agree / Strongly Agree
- By indicating no to any of the statements about academic standards, student performance or the process for the determination of awards, the report will be escalated to the PVC (Education and Equalities), Registrar (Academic), Head of Academic Quality Services and it will be presented to the next meeting of the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). A response should be sent from the programme leader (or appropriate person) to the examiner and all of the above within four working weeks of receipt of the report.
- If the standards are above what is expected, the examiner should still indicate yes but include a comment in the free text sections.
- If examiners answered disagree or strongly disagree, a formal response should be sent to them within 2 working weeks after the Programme Management Board.
- Examiners are required to be specific in each section of the report if comments relate to all provision or a particular module, programme or location. This is particularly important if the provision is taught at locations other than DMU Leicester and the examiner has not been asked to complete separate reports for each location. This will enable our partners to be clear if any action needs to be taken specifically with their provision.
- Examiners views will influence how the university develops its programmes. Examiners need to ensure that their report contains clear feedback on academic standards and on good practice, innovation and areas for enhancement. One word or one sentence responses throughout the report may not give the programme team enough information to enable them to enhance the programme.
- Guidance for [external examiners on good practice](#)
- It is vital for examiners not to name or otherwise identify any individuals. All external examiner reports will be made available, in full, to all students, with the sole exception of any confidential report which may be made to the vice-chancellor. The wording of reports must be carefully chosen in the light of the multiple audiences: staff, students, University senior staff and relevant external bodies.
- After submitting the report, examiners will receive an automatic message confirming receipt. There will also be a button labelled "Save my responses to edit". Clicking this button will take the examiner back to the Forms portal (Microsoft Office required), where they can open the form to edit if required. Click on Edit responses at the top right and then submit.
- For those examiners who need to submit more than one report, there is the option to click on "submit another response".

- Minor amendments may be made e.g. to anonymise individual names before sharing the report with staff and students.
- A claim form should be submitted to claim for the annual [fee](#) and any expenses incurred.

Question Preview and Additional Guidance

Section 1 – External Examiner Details

1. Title
*ie Professor, Dr Ms, Mr etc **
(Freeform response)
2. Forename *
(Freeform response)
3. Surname *
(Freeform response)
4. Place of employment *
(Freeform response)
5. DMU Faculty *
Drop down menu. Choose from Arts, Design & Humanities, Business & Law, Health & Life Sciences, Computing, Engineering & Media, UK Validation Services (formally Educational Partnerships).
6. Programme name *
It is important that the programme name/s is accurate. If you are unsure, please refer to your appointment letter. If you covered any additional modules or programmes this year, please also include them here.
(Freeform response)

For note: Please contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk if you need further information.

7. If any elements are taught at locations other than DMU Leicester, please specify the location below *
*Please add **N/A** if you are **not** associated with any other locations.*
You will be prompted to comment about locations throughout the report if you are not required to write a separate report.
(Freeform response)

For note: some examiners have separate appointments for different locations. This will be made clear to you at the time of appointment. In these circumstances, you will be required to write a separate report for each partner. Contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk if you are unsure.

8. Are you happy for De Montfort University to use your report in promotional material?
All external examiner reports will be made available, in full, to all students, with the sole exception of any confidential report which may be made to the vice-chancellor.
(Yes / No)

For note: This question relates to sharing your report for promotional material only.

Section 2 – Academic Standards

9. Are the standards set for the award appropriate? *
(Yes / No)

For note: By indicating no to above statement, the report will be escalated to the PVC (Education and Equalities), Registrar (Academic), Head of Academic Quality Services and it will be presented to the next meeting of the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). A response from the programme leader (or appropriate person) should be sent to you within four working weeks of receipt of the report.

If the standards are above what is expected, you should still indicate yes but include a comment in the free text section below.

10. Evaluate the programme and module content (module aims, learning outcomes and indicative content) in relation to subject requirements and comparable provision at the equivalent academic level.
- Module content should be relevant, coherent and up to date.
 - Particular attention should be focused on the contribution of modules to the generic attributes of a graduate within that programme (where relevant, please refer to the subject benchmark statements and, if relevant, apprenticeship standards).
 - Refer to the Office for Students (OfS) Sector-recognised standards for generic guidance on qualification levels Sector-recognised standards ([officeforstudents.org.uk](https://www.officeforstudents.org.uk)) where appropriate.
 - Comment on how the programme meets Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) requirements if relevant.
 - Comment on any curriculum developments that have been introduced since your last report, and whether you were consulted over changes.

Be specific to explain why you answered yes/no in the question above.

*Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). **

(Freeform response)

For note: If you are linked to one location only and all of your comments are about this location, you do not need to specify the location each time. However, please be specific about the programmes, modules and assessments you are referring to.

If you are required to write one report for multiple locations, ensure that you include comments for all locations

Section 3 – Student Performance

11. Is the standard of student performance comparable with similar programmes or modules in other UK institutions with which you are familiar? *
(Yes / No)

For note: By indicating no to above statement, the report will be escalated to the PVC (Education and Equalities), Registrar (Academic), Head of Academic Quality Services and it will be presented to the next meeting of the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). A

response from the programme leader (or appropriate person) should be sent to you within four working weeks of receipt of the report.

If the standards are above what is expected, the examiner should still indicate yes but include a comment in the free text section below.

12. Evaluate the overall performance of students in relation to academic level, module and where appropriate programme learning outcomes and assessment criteria.

Evaluate student performance relative to their peers on modules delivered at a comparable level at other Higher Education Institutions (HEI).

Be specific to explain why you answered yes/no in the question above.

*Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). **

(Freeform response)

For note: If you are linked to one location only and all of your comments are about this location, you do not need to specify the location each time. However, please be specific about the programmes, modules and assessments you are referring to.

If you are required to write one report for multiple locations, ensure that you include comments for all locations

Section 4 – Assessment

13. To what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements: *
- Assessments were effective, valid and reliable
 - There was an appropriate range and balance of activities that were designed to enable participation by all
 - Assessments were set at an appropriate level and the load was suitable.

(Strongly disagree / Disagree / Agree / Strongly agree)

For note: Check that you are ticking the correct column. The scale goes from strongly disagree on the left through to strongly agree on the right.

If you answered disagree or strongly disagree, a response should be sent to you within 2 working weeks after the Programme Management Board.

14. Evaluate the appropriateness and comprehensiveness of the assessment strategy and methods employed in relation to stated module aims, learning outcomes and assessment criteria.

You may find it useful to refer to the Assessment Design section 1 in the Assessment and Feedback Policy (<https://www.dmu.ac.uk/academic/centre-academic-innovation/assessment-and-feedback-policy.aspx>) and Universal Design for Learning (<https://www.dmu.ac.uk/academic/centre-academic-innovation/udl.aspx>).

Be specific to explain your answers. Include comments addressing any statements with which you disagree or strongly disagree.

*Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). **

(Freeform response)

For note: If you are linked to one location only and all of your comments are about this location, you do not need to specify the location each time. However, please be specific about the programmes, modules and assessments you are referring to.

If you are required to write one report for multiple locations, ensure that you include comments for all locations.

Section 5 – Marking, Moderation & Feedback

15. To what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements *

- Marking criteria was suitable
- The sample was provided in good time to allow proper judgements to be made
- Marking was of a high standard and consistent
- There was clear evidence of internal moderation (second/double marking)
- Feedback was sufficiently detailed, constructive and consistent.

(Strongly disagree / Disagree / Agree / Strongly agree)

For note: Check that you are ticking the correct column. The scale goes from strongly disagree on the left through to strongly agree on the right.

For note: If you answered disagree or strongly disagree, a response should be sent to you within 2 working weeks after the Programme Management Board.

16. Evaluate the consistency of internal marking and that this has been carried out rigorously and fairly. Particular attention should be focused on the fairness and objectivity of the assessment process as a whole.

Be specific to explain your answers. Include comments addressing any statements with which you disagree or strongly disagree.

*Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). **

(Freeform response)

For note: If you are linked to one location only and all of your comments are about this location, you do not need to specify the location each time. However, please be specific about the programmes, modules and assessments you are referring to.

If you are required to write one report for multiple locations, ensure that you include comments for all locations.

Section 6 – Assessment Board

17. Did you attend an assessment board? *
(Yes / No)

For note: If yes, go to question 18
If no, go to question 20

The MS form will automatically direct you to the next question depending on your answer.

18. Were the processes for the determination of awards sound and fairly conducted? *
(Yes / No)

For note: Both yes and no, go to question 19.

By indicating no to any of the three questions in the summary, the report will be escalated to the PVC (Education and Equalities), Registrar (Academic), Head of Academic Quality Services and it will be presented to the next meeting of the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). A response from the programme leader (or appropriate person) should be sent to you within four working weeks of receipt of the report.

19. Comment if the board has been conducted in a manner which allowed and promoted full engagement from you. This relates to your general involvement in the assessment process as defined in university regulations and to your involvement as a board member in the business of the meeting.

Discuss the operation of the board, specifically if it has been properly and well conducted. *

(Freeform response)

20. Were you given the opportunity to feed into meeting ie by providing comments? *
(Yes / No)

For note: question only applicable if you did not attend the assessment board.

Section 7 – Administration and Support

21. To what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements *
Information to support your role includes programme and module specifications, academic regulations, previous external examiner reports and when to expect work.

- Access was provided to relevant programme materials
- Support for the role was appropriate
- The communication from academic and professional service staff was appropriate
- There were opportunities for academic discussion with staff

(Strongly disagree / Disagree / Agree / Strongly agree)

For note: Check that you are ticking the correct column. The scale goes from strongly disagree on the left through to strongly agree on the right.

If you answered disagree or strongly disagree, a response should be sent to you within 2 working weeks after the Programme Management Board.

22. Evaluate the usefulness of the information provided about the role, responsibilities and expectations, including reporting protocols and response/feedback arrangements.

Be specific to explain your answers. Include comments addressing any statements with which you disagree or strongly disagree.

*Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). **

(Freeform response)

23. Is this your first year as an external examiner at DMU? *

(Yes / No)

For note: If yes, go to question 24

If no, go to question 27

24. Is this your first external examiner position? *

(Yes / No)

For note: If yes, go to question 25

If no, go to question 27

25. Was the support provided by your allocated mentor sufficient for you to undertake your duties? *

(Yes / No / Mentor support not used)

For note: For all answers, go to question 26.

A mentor will only be appointed when an incoming external examiner has minimal experience in the role. Details of the mentor are provided in the appointment letter and it is the responsibility of the new examiner to make contact with the mentor if requiring assistance. If you already have external examining experience at the start of the appointment, you will not be appointed a mentor.

26. Comment on the support provided by your allocated mentor. If the support was not sufficient for you to undertake your duties, please provide further information. *

(Freeform response)

For note: If you did not use the mentor support, please indicate why not ie. Some examiners are supported so well by the programme team that additional mentor support is not required.

Section 8 – Higher and Degree Apprenticeships (HADA)

27. Are you a DMU external examiner for a Higher and Degree Apprenticeship (HADA)? *

(Yes / No)

For note: If yes, go to question 28
If no, go to question 31

28. To what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements *
- The teaching and learning fully covers the knowledge, skills and behaviours (KSBs) set out in the Apprenticeship Standard.
 - It is clear where elements of assessment within modules are linked to the occupation / KSBs and the End Point Assessment (using the Apprenticeship Assessment Plan)?
 - There is evidence that learning and teaching includes coverage of Equality and Diversity, Safeguarding, Prevent and British Values
 - The apprenticeship provides evidence of the development of English, Maths and Digital Technology where appropriate.

(Strongly disagree / Disagree / Agree / Strongly agree)

For note: Check that you are ticking the correct column. The scale goes from strongly disagree on the left through to strongly agree on the right.

If you answered disagree or strongly disagree, a response should be sent to you within 2 working weeks after the Programme Management Board.

29. To what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements *
- If you are **not** linked to an integrated and fully integrated apprenticeship, please tick not applicable.
- The EPA is delivered in line with the latest EPA assessment plan for the apprenticeship standard (for integrated and fully integrated only)
 - There were no issues/risks identified which would hinder effective EPA delivery and/or the achievement of occupational competence (for integrated and fully integrated only)

(Strongly disagree / Disagree / Agree / Strongly agree / Not applicable)

For note: Check that you are ticking the correct column. The scale goes from strongly disagree on the left through to strongly agree on the right.

If you answered disagree or strongly disagree, a response should be sent to you within 2 working weeks after the Programme Management Board.

30. Please elaborate on your answers above.

Be specific to explain your answers. Include comments addressing any statements with which you disagree or strongly disagree.

*Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). **

(Freeform response)

Section 9 – Summary

31. Briefly summarise areas of good practice and innovation.

Be specific to explain your answers. Include comments addressing any statements with which you disagree or strongly disagree.

Please include comments for all locations (unless you are required to write a separate report). *

(Freeform response)

For note: [Guidance for external examiners on good practice](#)

32. Identify any issues, raised in previous reports, which remain to be addressed.

Identify any issues that fall outside the categories given above. If there are **no** issues, please add **none**. *

(Freeform response)

33. If this is your **final year** as an external examiner at De Montfort University, please give an overview of your term of office. Please add **N/A** if this **not** your final year.

Comment on the changes introduced during your term.

Comment on the extent to which you feel the university has been responsive to your suggestions.

If you are unsure if this is your final year or not, please contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk to clarify. *

(Freeform response)

For note: external examiners are typically in post for 4 years although sometimes extensions are granted for an additional year. If you are unsure if this is your final year, please contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk for clarification.

34. By dating and submitting this form, I confirm that:
- All relevant sections have been completed
 - The comments cover all programmes and locations within my remit (unless a separate report has been requested)
 - The report does not include any names of individuals

After submitting a response, you will see a button labelled “**Save my responses to edit**”. Clicking this button will take you back to the Forms portal (Microsoft Office required), where you can open the form to edit if required.

Date from date picker

For note: Once you have clicked submit, you will see the following screen:

Thank you for submitting your 2024/25 external examiners report. This will be shared with the Faculty and if relevant, locations other than DMU Leicester.

If you have indicated disagree or strongly disagree to any statements, a response will be sent to you within 2 weeks of the programme management board. If you answered no to the statements about academic standards, student performance or the process for the determination of awards, you will be sent a response within 4 working weeks of report submission.

You will also need to complete a form (<https://tinyurl.com/mr2n2dbf>) to claim for your annual fee. This must be submitted within three months of completing this report. Please send your form to the appropriate faculty finance administrator (<https://www.dmu.ac.uk/About-DMU/Quality-management-and-policy/dag/external-examining.aspx>).


To view your response, you will see a button below labelled "Save my responses to edit". Clicking this button will take you back to the Forms portal (Microsoft Office required), where you can open this MS Form to view and edit if required. If you do not have access to MS Office and you would like a copy of your report, please contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk

Important thing you can do next

[Save my response to edit](#)

[Submit another response](#)

This is confirmation that your report has been submitted. It is recommended that you if you are using MS Office, click on the "Save my response to edit" button. This will enable you to make amendments to the response. By clicking on this button, it will take you back to the MS Forms portal (Microsoft Office required), where you can open this MS Form to view and edit if required.



If you make any amendments after submission, please contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk to ensure that we have picked up the latest version of the report.

Once you have submitted your report, you will need to submit a [claim form](#) for your annual fee. This payment is not automatic. Submit within three months of submission of the report. Please send the form to one of the following contacts:

Faculty	School	Contact
Art, Design and Humanities	Arts, Design and Architecture (ADA)	adhadmin-ada@dmu.ac.uk
	Fashion and Textiles (FT)	adhadmin-ft@dmu.ac.uk

	Humanities and Performing Arts (HPA)	adhadmin-hpa@dmu.ac.uk
Business and Law	All schools	Sophia Welton (0116) 207 8389 swelton@dmu.ac.uk
Computing, Engineering and Media	Computer Science and Informatics (CSI) (undergraduate)	Sally Pick (Acting) (0116) 207 8524 spick@dmu.ac.uk
	Engineering and Sustainable Development (ESD) (undergraduate) and Leicester Media School (LMS) (undergraduate)	Chris Voss (0116) 207 8936 chris.voss@dmu.ac.uk
	All schools (postgraduate) and UK partners	Tracey Harris (0116) 207 8465 tracey@dmu.ac.uk
Health and Life Sciences	All schools	hlsquality@dmu.ac.uk
UK Validation Services (formally Educational Partnerships)		ukvs@dmu.ac.uk

Payment is usually on the 25th of each month depending on submission date. The cut-off date for form submission is by the 25th of the previous month. For example, if a claim form is submitted on the 25th July, payment will usually be on the 25th August. If a claim form is submitted on the 27th July, this will not be paid until the 25th September.

Any queries, please contact gaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk

Process for dealing with late reports

One month after the main assessment board

External examiners reports should be submitted within one month after the main assessment board (not after the reassessment board) unless a prior agreement has been made with AQS and the programme team. AQS will contact the examiner to remind them that the report is due.

Two months after the main assessment board

If the report has still not been received within two months after the board, AQS will contact the programme leader, head of school/department/board chair and faculty associate professor (quality) to ask if there are any known reasons why the report has not been submitted and to gauge the extent of the examiner's engagement in the assessment

process. At this stage, the programme leader is also encouraged to contact the external examiner. AQS will contact the external examiner requesting submission of the report.

Three months after the main assessment board

If the report has not been received within three months after the board, engagement with the assessment process has been minimal and there are no extenuating circumstances, AQS will ask the Associate Professor Quality (APQ) to contact the examiner to request the report specifying a two-week deadline for submission. The programme leader should start preparing to find an alternative external examiner. If no response, the faculty can request termination of appointment. All requests regarding the termination of an appointment should be agreed via the head of school/department/board chair and faculty associate professor (quality) and the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel. A formal communication confirming termination of appointment will be sent to the external examiner from the chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel.

How reports are processed

Receipt and circulation

Reports will be received centrally and shared with faculties via the AQS External Examining SharePoint site and relevant collaborative partners will receive an email from AQS within five working days of receipt.

Detailed response from board chair/programme leader or partner

If an examiner indicates no to any of the statements about academic standards, student performance or the process for the determination of awards, the report will be escalated to the PVC (Education and Equalities), Registrar (Academic), Head of Academic Quality Services and it will be presented to the next meeting of the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). A response from the programme leader (or appropriate person) should be sent to you within four working weeks of receipt of the report.

The external examiners reports should be considered at the next programme management board (PMB) meeting. Ideally, the PMB should either agree the draft response or agree the type of response required. All external examiners should receive a response their report within two working weeks of the PMB but if examiners answered disagree or strongly disagree, this response should be detailed and answer fully any concerns raised.

The response should be sent by the most appropriate person (board chair, programme leader or partner) on behalf of the board to the external, and copied to the faculty associate professor (quality) and AQS (qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk).

The response must indicate any action that will or has been taken, or if appropriate, reasons for not accepting recommendations or suggestions. The suggested circulation will allow the associate professor (quality) and AQS to operate checks to ensure that external examiners are receiving appropriate responses. A [template](#) has been devised to aid consistency and improve the quality of the detailed responses to external examiners' reports. Its use is optional.

If no issues have been raised, a formal response from the board is not required although an informal acknowledgement from the programme leader (or most appropriate person) should be sent.

Monitoring

Associate professors (quality) will keep a record of faculty issues and good practice raised by external examiners in their reports. These records or logs should be maintained for audit purposes.

Institutional issues ie relating to the regulatory framework, assessment procedures, central resources (library, IT provision, and student support services) will be highlighted by AQS, who will continue to monitor all external examiner reports as they are received. For each of the institutional issues, an appropriate person will be identified and asked to make a response within one month on behalf of the university. The response will be sent to the external examiner and will also be copied to the programme leader, faculty associate professor (quality), board chair and AQS.

An overview report will be written by AQS to be presented to the Academic Quality Committee (AQC). The annual report is based on the key findings from external examiner reports for a specific academic year and is compiled through consideration of undergraduate reports and postgraduate reports.

Reporting timetable

External examiners reports received within AQS and shared with the faculty	Within five working days of receipt
Faculty associate professor (quality) records issues raised and good practice to ensure all issues have been responded to.	Ongoing
AQS identifies appropriate person to respond to external examiner on behalf of university for any institutional issues and contacts them with the programme leader, faculty associate professor (quality) and the board chair copied in.	Within 10 days of receipt of report
Programme management board considers report and decides on action to be taken.	First board after receipt of report
Management board chair (or other most appropriate person depending on nature of issue, i.e. programme leader) sends a detailed written response to the external examiner. Again, copied to faculty associate professor (quality) and AQS.	Within 2 weeks of the board
Faculty associate professor (quality) updates records with details of action taken and date of response.	Ongoing
AQC External Examiner Overview Report to be presented to AQC for discussion and information.	March/April

Sharing external examiner details and reports with students

In line with the QAA UK Quality Code requirements, external examiners' reports should be made available, in full, to students, with the sole exception of any confidential report made directly, and separately, to the vice-chancellor. Faculties will only be required to make the

most recent examiner reports available however students may ask for reports from previous years. It is also recommended by the QAA that responses to those reports are also made available to students. The use of the virtual learning environment (VLE) is suggested although teams may wish to use other appropriate methods. For collaborative provision, the partner should discuss with the DMU programme leader/link tutor, the best way to do this. If the programme is also taught at DMU, a link to the DMU VLE site in the handbooks may suffice.

Additionally, the name, position and institution (or other place of work) of all external examiners should be included in module or programme information provided to students. External examiner(s) contact details should not be included. We are also requested to indicate where an examiner has been appointed to fulfil a role on behalf of a professional body. Alongside publication of these details, there should be an explicit statement that it is inappropriate for students to contact external examiners, in particular regarding their individual performance in assessments, and that other appropriate appeal or complaints mechanisms are available. External examiners in receipt of any such contact from students are requested to refer these back to the faculty. All new external examiners are informed of this in their appointment letter.

GDPR, data protection and Freedom of information

De Montfort University fully endorses and adheres to the General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018. For more information, please see the DMU website:

<https://www.dmu.ac.uk/policies/data-protection/data-protection.aspx>

<https://www.dmu.ac.uk/about-dmu/quality-management-and-policy/information-security/information-security.aspx>

Fee payment

This information covers taught programmes only. For information on research external examiner fees, please contact the Doctoral College. Each faculty office has responsibility for paying all of the fees and expenses of its external examiners and should therefore be contacted with any queries about payments. External examiner fees and expenses should be claimed by completing and submitting a claim form.

External examiners are informed of their fees in their letter of appointment. [Fee information](#) is also available on the website, which details the current rates of payment for work carried-out by external examiners, including visits. The fee may vary due to workload. The annual fee and expenses are not paid automatically but will be paid on receipt of an external examiners report and on submission of the claim form. If an examiner has not incurred any expenses, a claim form will still need to be submitted for the annual fee. If examiners have also incurred expenses, one form will suffice to claim these expenses back and for the annual fee. All completed forms must be submitted within **three months** of the claim date. It is the responsibility of the external examiner to submit claim forms.

Examiners are required to use their home address on the form, the programme title (not just the programme code), the purpose of their visit along with dates (eg assessment board, to meet students, to view work) and their signature on the second page. If examiners are claiming for a fee only and have not made any visits to the university or partners, not applicable should be added in this box. Missing information on the form may result in a delay in payment. Examiners are advised to keep a copy of their claim form and receipts.

Once completed, the form should be sent to the [faculty finance administrator](#) in the first instance. Payments are made on the 25th of each month (or the closest working day). For these to be processed in time, the form will need to be submitted to the faculty by the 25th of the previous month. Forms received after this date will be paid the following month. All completed forms must be submitted within three months of the claim date.

Provided the expenses are classed as a business expense, they are not subject to tax, however, for HMRC purposes the business reason for the expense must be stated and itemised VAT receipts must be provided where appropriate. The fees are taxed in the normal way through PAYE.

Points of contact and further information

Visits, board operation, subject expertise

The faculty academic contact (usually the programme or subject leader) should identify themselves to the external examiner at the beginning of the term of office. The appropriate faculty administrator should also introduce themselves.

Payment of expenses and fees

The [faculty finance administrator](#) as identified in the letter of appointment.

Queries about roles and responsibilities and report submission

Contact qaenquiries@dmu.ac.uk, Academic Quality Services, on behalf of the chair of the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel.

Additional information

University's [strategy for learning and teaching](#)

Advance HE: [External Examiner Handbook](#)

[Quality Assurance Agency: UK Quality Code External Expertise](#)

Appendix 1

Higher and Degree apprenticeships – brief guide to external examining

Overview

All Higher Education (HE) apprenticeship programmes are subject to the external examiner process. The QAA Quality Code expects HE providers to appoint external examiners for all programmes leading to a higher education qualification; therefore the University's normal external examining arrangements outlined in the Guide to External Examining will apply for the degree aspect of Higher and Degree apprenticeships.

The Quality Code is also responsive to the need to adapt external examining approaches as necessary in order to accommodate work-integrated higher education such as apprenticeships, and therefore a key requirement in the nomination of external examiners is for apprenticeship delivery teams to consider the balance of both academic and practice-based experience.

All apprenticeships require an End-Point Assessment (EPA) to test both academic learning and workplace competence. The requirements for EPA, as described in EPA plans will depend on the delivery method ([integrated vs non-integrated](#)).

- An integrated apprenticeship means that DMU is responsible for both the academic content, assessment, and EPA. Therefore, EPA plans may reference external examiners, as the EPA is conducted as an integrated part of the qualification.
- A non-integrated apprenticeship means that DMU is responsible for the academic content and assessment, while an external organisation provides the EPA. Appointed external examiners will not play a direct role in the EPA process but will have oversight in the academic award of the apprenticeship.

Appointment process

External examiners who are appointed to scrutinize apprenticeship programmes are subject to the appointment criteria and approval process outlined in [Section 1 of the AQS external examining guide](#). For external examining arrangements for apprenticeships, the following need to be taken into consideration during the appointment process:

- The university needs to be assured that the external examiner is suitably qualified to undertake the role, providing a balance between academic and workplace practice experience and having currency of experience in both areas. In terms of workplace practice experience, consideration should be given to academic nominees who are working with a relevant industry to support delivery of a programme (e.g. guest lecturers from industry, live briefs, experience of running an apprenticeship), members of an industrial liaison committee or undertaking consultancy in a relevant area. If an examiner cannot be found who matches the criteria, consideration should be given to appointing both an examiner with academic subject expertise and one with appropriate practice-based expertise.
- External examiners from industry may be appointed where appropriate; but each board shall have at least one external examiner who has recent examining

experience at the relevant level and who is familiar with the standards required for comparable programmes.

Roles and Responsibilities

The university needs to ensure that external examiners are appropriately briefed as to the specific nature of their role for apprenticeships that involve higher education.

For apprenticeship programmes this will need to include

- Specifying whether the apprenticeship involves integrated or separate EPA;
- The relationship between apprenticeship duties and Knowledge, Skills and Behaviours (KSBs) and programme/modules learning outcomes, hence the way the EPA is conducted may have implications for their role.
- The assessment format or specific requirements for delivery of the EPA as specified in the apprenticeship standard's assessment plan.

End Point Assessment

All apprenticeships whether integrated or non-integrated, will require an independently assessed synoptic EPA. The arrangements for which are detailed in the respective apprenticeship's standards EPA plan, and the EPA. Where the EPA will be conducted by a separate independent apprenticeship end-point assessment organisation (EPAO), the EPAO is selected by the employer.

Where an apprenticeship standard is an integrated degree apprenticeship, the EPA is included within the degree award and DMU will need to ensure that they meet the requirements of the respective assessment plan in demonstrating the independence of the process for EPA.

As such, for integrated apprenticeships, the external examiner may be involved in the oversight of the end- point assessment. For non-integrated apprenticeships the external examiner will not play a direct role in the EPA but will be involved in the awarding of the degree aspect of the apprenticeship.

Appendix 2

Lists of acceptable documents for manual right to work checks (from 1 July 2021)

List A – acceptable documents to establish a continuous statutory excuse

1. A passport (current or expired) showing the holder, or a person named in the passport as the child of the holder, is a British citizen or a citizen of the UK and Colonies having the right of abode in the UK.
2. A passport or passport card (current or expired) showing that the holder is a national of the Republic of Ireland.
3. A current document issued by the Home Office to a family member of an EEA or Swiss citizen, and which indicates that the holder is permitted to stay in the United Kingdom indefinitely.
4. A document issued by the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Bailiwick of Guernsey or the Isle of Man, which has been verified as valid by the Home Office Employer Checking Service, showing that the holder has been granted unlimited leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU to the Jersey Immigration Rules, Appendix EU to the Immigration (Bailiwick of Guernsey) Rules 2008 or Appendix EU to the Isle of Man Immigration Rules.
5. A current Biometric Immigration Document (Biometric Residence Permit) issued by the Home Office to the holder indicating that the person named is allowed to stay indefinitely in the UK or has no time limit on their stay in the UK.
6. A current passport endorsed to show that the holder is exempt from immigration control, is allowed to stay indefinitely in the UK, has the right of abode in the UK, or has no time limit on their stay in the UK.
7. A current Immigration Status Document issued by the Home Office to the holder with an endorsement indicating that the named person is allowed to stay indefinitely in the UK, or has no time limit on their stay in the UK, **together with** an official document giving the person's permanent National Insurance number and their name issued by a government agency or a previous employer.
8. A birth or adoption certificate issued in the UK, **together with** an official document giving the person's permanent National Insurance number and their name issued by a government agency or a previous employer.
9. A birth or adoption certificate issued in the Channel Islands, the Isle of Man or Ireland, **together with** an official document giving the person's permanent National Insurance number and their name issued by a government agency or a previous employer.

10. A certificate of registration or naturalisation as a British citizen, **together with** an official document giving the person's permanent National Insurance number and their name issued by a government agency or a previous employer.

List B Group 1 – documents where a time-limited statutory excuse lasts until the expiry date of leave

1. A current passport endorsed to show that the holder is allowed to stay in the UK and is currently allowed to do the type of work in question.
2. A current Biometric Immigration Document (Biometric Residence Permit) issued by the Home Office to the holder which indicates that the named person can currently stay in the UK and is allowed to do the work in question.
3. A current document issued by the Home Office to a family member of an EEA or Swiss citizen, and which indicates that the holder is permitted to stay in the United Kingdom for a time limited period and to do the type of work in question.
4. A document issued by the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Bailiwick of Guernsey or the Isle of Man, which has been verified as valid by the Home Office Employer Checking Service, showing that the holder has been granted limited leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU to the Jersey Immigration Rules, Appendix EU to the Immigration (Bailiwick of Guernsey) Rules 2008 or Appendix EU to the Isle of Man Immigration Rules.
5. A document issued by the Bailiwick of Jersey or the Bailiwick of Guernsey, which has been verified as valid by the Home Office Employer Checking Service, showing that the holder has made an application for leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU to the Jersey Immigration Rules or Appendix EU to the Immigration (Bailiwick of Guernsey) Rules 2008, on or before 30 June 2021.
6. A frontier worker permit issued under regulation 8 of the Citizens' Rights (Frontier Workers) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020.
7. A current Immigration Status Document containing a photograph issued by the Home Office to the holder with a valid endorsement indicating that the named person may stay in the UK, and is allowed to do the type of work in question, together with an official document giving the person's permanent National Insurance number and their name issued by a government agency or a previous employer.

List B Group 2 – documents where a time-limited statutory excuse lasts for six months

1. A document issued by the Home Office showing that the holder has made an application for leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU to the immigration rules on or before 30 June 2021 **together with a Positive Verification Notice** from the Home Office Employer Checking Service.
2. A document issued by the Bailiwick of Jersey or the Bailiwick of Guernsey showing that the holder has made an application for leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU to the Jersey Immigration Rules or Appendix EU to the Immigration (Bailiwick of Guernsey) Rules 2008 on or before 30 June 2021 **together with a**

Positive Verification Notice from the Home Office Employer Checking Service.

3. An Application Registration Card issued by the Home Office stating that the holder is permitted to take the employment in question, **together with a Positive Verification Notice** from the Home Office Employer Checking Service.
4. A Positive Verification Notice issued by the Home Office Employer Checking Service to the employer or prospective employer, which indicates that the named person may stay in the UK and is permitted to do the work in question.

Appendix 3

External Examiner Documentation Checklist

It is recognised that there is variation across the university as to who has responsibility for providing information and communicating with external examiners. The suggested responsibility column has therefore been included as a guide as this may vary within faculties.

Where possible, it is advisable to send information in coherent packs rather than multiple emails. Whilst the programme leader is ultimately responsible for ensuring the information is provided to the external examiner, this may be delegated. Each School/Department should decide upon who is best placed to communicate with the external examiner.

Provided at the start of the appointment	Suggested responsibility
Appointment letter containing the name of the programme, collaborative partners (if appropriate), tenure dates, main duties, fee and expenses information	AQS
Current Academic Regulations Taught Programmes (website link)	AQS
Current General Regulations and Procedures Affecting Students (website link)	AQS
Guide to external examining at DMU which details the role of the examiner on quality assurance policies and protocols (website link)	AQS
Assessment and Feedback Policy (website link)	AQS
Guide to curriculum modification (website link)	AQS
External examiner report proforma (website link)	AQS
External examiner expenses claim forms (website link)	AQS
Contact information	AQS
List of documents to be provided to meet the right to work in the UK requirements	AQS
Username and password for DMUhub and LearningZone	AQS
Guidance for DMUhub and LearningZone	AQS
Invitation to the External Examiner Briefing sessions (usually sent in the autumn term)	AQS
From the External Examiner Briefing sessions: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Copy of presentation	AQS

<p>Programme leader and administrator contact external examiner to introduce themselves and to confirm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The external examiners involvement in level 4 • The best form of communication, main contact details • How and when work will be sent to the external examiner including an assessment schedule • Agreement on any visits • Whether the external examiner wishes to meet with students 	Programme leader/ administrator
Programme specifications from SAP	Administrator
Module specifications from SAP	Administrator
Student/programme handbooks	Programme leader
QAA subject benchmarks/QAA characteristic statements (if appropriate)	Programme leader
Programme specific regulations (if applicable and if they are not included in the handbook or programme specification)	Programme leader/ administrator
Details of any professional, regulatory or statutory body requirements (PSRB) (if applicable)	Programme leader
Relevant apprenticeship standard (for Higher and Degree Apprenticeships only)	Programme leader
Assessment plan (for Higher and Degree Apprenticeships only)	Programme leader
Document mapping the academic programme to the apprenticeship standard (for Higher and Degree Apprenticeships only)	Programme leader
Previous external examiners report/s	Programme leader/ administrator
Recent review reports (eg periodic review, PSRB)	Programme leader
Annual Enhancement Reviews (AER) or Programme Appraisal and Enhancement (PAE) and Module Enhancement Plans (MEP)	Programme leader/module leaders
Dates of assessment board meetings	Administrator/ Assessment board servicing officer
Dates of any other meetings ie interim visits	Administrator/ Assessment

	board servicing officer
Timeline of when to expect draft assessments and samples including turnaround times	Programme leader

Where possible, it is advisable to send information in coherent packs rather than multiple emails.

Provided on an annual basis	Suggested responsibility
Programme specifications from SAP (if any changes)	Administrator
Module specifications from SAP (if any changes)	Administrator
Student/programme handbooks (if any changes)	Programme leader
Contact information (if any changes)	Programme leader
Annual Enhancement Reviews (AERs) or Programme Appraisal and Enhancement (PAE) and Module Enhancement Plans (MEP)	Programme leader/module leaders
Dates of assessment board meetings	Administrator/ Assessment board servicing officer
Dates of any other meetings ie interim visits	Administrator/ Assessment board servicing officer
Timeline of when to expect draft assessments and samples including turnaround times	Programme leader
Feedback from students (eg MLF, NSS)	Programme leader
Newsletter (May)	AQS
Current Academic Regulations Taught Programmes (website link)	AQS
Confirmation of receipt of report	AQS
Detailed response to the external examiner's report from the programme leader/PMB chair	Programme leader/PMB chair

Where possible, it is advisable to send information in coherent packs rather than multiple emails.

Provided during the academic session	Suggested responsibility
Draft assessments for approval including marking schemes, model answers and outline solutions if appropriate.	Programme leader/module leaders
Feedback on draft assessment comments	Programme leader/module leaders
Samples of work including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mark lists • Assessment briefs • Model answers and outline solutions if appropriate • Marking scheme • Mark descriptors • Evidence of internal moderation/second marking and how final marks were agreed • Moderation cover sheets • Summary of module statistics (students assessed, average marks, standard deviation and pass rates) 	Programme leader/module leaders
Consultation on curriculum and assessment changes	Programme leader/module leaders
Agendas for assessment boards	Administrator/ Assessment board servicing officer
Minutes of the relevant boards (assessment and management)	Administrator/ Assessment board servicing officer

Appendix 4

Key responsibilities of the mentor

The mentor is responsible for providing advice and guidance to the mentee on putting the DMU external examiner requirements into practice. A mentor arrangement usually lasts for the first year of the mentee's appointment and ends after the first main assessment period. Once the mentor has made initial contact with the mentee, likely topics of discussion may include:

- The role of external examiners at DMU
- How to deal with draft examination papers, coursework titles, assessing the appropriateness of questions/titles in terms of coverage of topics/learning outcomes, level of challenge, rigour, ambiguity, fairness etc
- The approaches to moderation including sampling and consistency of marking between modules, programme and locations
- The assessment board process and the role of the examiner in this context
- Tips on writing the annual report. Mentors may wish to share their previous reports with the mentee or the mentee may send his/her draft report to the mentor for comment
- General discussions about external examining experiences and common scenarios that may arise

It is expected that communication should take place via email or telephone. Depending on the mentee's previous experience, the External Examiner and External Roles Appointments Panel may stipulate that job shadowing must take place.

Mentor criteria

A mentor must normally be:

- An experienced external examiner from the university's current pool of examiners
- They should preferably attend the same assessment board as the mentee or be from a similar subject area
- Have a strong academic background with recent experience of working in higher education
- They should have participated in a least one assessment period at the university. They should, therefore, have produced at least one good quality annual report and have submitted it in a timely manner.

Setting up a mentor relationship

It is the responsibility of the faculty to nominate mentors. Faculties should approach potential mentors during the initial nomination stage of the new examiner. Details of the mentor and the type of support suggested should be indicated in the rationale on the nomination form. Contact details of the mentor will be communicated to the new examiner via the appointment letter. Due to the small amount of work involved, a fee is not usually given to a mentor, however, if the work is seen as significant, for example, involving job shadowing, the faculty may wish to offer a small fee.

After the final assessment board, all external examiners are required to submit annual reports to Academic Quality Services. Those examiners who have been mentored are asked to comment in their annual report on the effectiveness of this support.